

Stable Fukaya categories of Milnor fibers

Kazushi Ueda

Mirror Symmetry and Differential Equations
Boğaziçi University
July 13, 2023

Abelian surface

- ▶ X : a principally polarized abelian surface
- ▶ $D \subset X$: the theta divisor (a curve of genus 2)
- ▶ $U := X \setminus D$: an affine manifold
- ▶ $\tilde{U} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2$: the blow-up at three points in general position
- ▶ $\tilde{U} \rightarrow \check{U}$: identification of the opposite sides of the toric momentum polytope of \tilde{U}
- ▶ The toric boundary of \tilde{U} goes to the union of three rational curves whose intersection complex is a θ -shaped graph.
- ▶ $\mathrm{fuk} D \simeq \mathrm{scoh} \check{U} := \mathrm{coh} \check{U} / \mathrm{perf} \check{U}$ by Seidel.
- ▶ $\mathrm{wfuk} U \simeq \mathrm{coh} \check{U}$ and $\mathrm{fuk} U \simeq \mathrm{perf} \check{U}$, so that $\mathrm{wfuk} U / \mathrm{fuk} U \simeq \mathrm{fuk} D$?

Stable Fukaya category

- ▶ The *stable Fukaya category* is the quotient

$$\text{sfuk } U := \text{wfuk } U / \text{fuk } U.$$

- ▶ According to Ganatra–Gao–Venkatesh, if there is a Koszul duality between $\text{wfuk } U$ and $\text{fuk } U$, then the stable Fukaya category is equivalent to the *Rabinowitz Fukaya category*.

Balanced Fukaya category

- ▶ A symplectic manifold (M, ω) is *monotone* if $c_1(M) = k[\omega]$ for $k \neq 0$.
- ▶ Fix a 1-form θ on the principal S^1 -bundle associated with the complex line bundle $\wedge^n(TM, J)$ such that $d\theta = \pi^*\omega$.
- ▶ A Lagrangian submanifold $L \subset M$ is *balanced* if $[i^*\theta] = 0 \in H^1(L; \mathbb{R})$.
- ▶ The balanced Fukaya category $\text{fuk } M$ is decomposed into summands associated with eigenvalues of quantum multiplication by $c_1(M)$.
- ▶ The balanced Fukaya category is $\mathbb{Z}/2N\mathbb{Z}$ -graded, where N is the minimal Maslov number.
- ▶ A $\mathbb{Z}/2N\mathbb{Z}$ -graded category is the same as a $\mathbf{k}[u, u^{-1}]$ -linear \mathbb{Z} -graded category for $\deg u = 2N$.

Complement of a smooth ample divisor

- ▶ X : a smooth projective n -fold
- ▶ $D \subset X$: a smooth ample divisor
- ▶ $U := X \setminus D$: an affine manifold
- ▶ Assume $rD \sim K_X$ for some $r \in \mathbb{Z}$, so that $c_1(U) = 0$.
- ▶ $\theta \in \mathrm{SH}^{2+2r}(U)$: the Borman–Sheridan–Varolgüneş class
- ▶ θ should be the first order deformation class of $\mathrm{fuk}(X, D)$.
- ▶ $\mathrm{fuk} U$ is proper over $\mathbf{k}[\theta]$ by Pomerleano.
- ▶ It is natural to expect $\mathrm{Fun}^{\mathrm{ex}}(\mathrm{wfuk} U, \mathrm{perf} \mathbf{k}) \simeq \mathrm{fuk} U$, so that

$$\mathrm{sfuk} U \simeq \begin{cases} \mathrm{wfuk} U \otimes_{\mathbf{k}[\theta]} k(\theta) & \text{if } U \text{ is log Calabi–Yau,} \\ \mathrm{wfuk} U \otimes_{\mathbf{k}[\theta]} \mathbf{k}[\theta, \theta^{-1}] & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Lagrangian correspondence

- ▶ $C := \mathcal{SN}_{D/X}$: the sphere bundle of the normal bundle (the boundary of a tubular neighborhood)
- ▶ $C \rightarrow U \times D$: a Lagrangian correspondence
- ▶ C induces a functor $\Phi: \text{wfuk } U \rightarrow \text{fuk } D$.

Conjecture (Lekili–U).

If U is not log Calabi–Yau, then Φ descends to an equivalence

$$\Psi: \text{sfuk } U \rightarrow \text{fuk}(D; \lambda)$$

with the big summand of the balanced Fukaya category.

Cotangent bundle (with the canonical background)

- ▶ $\text{wfuk } T^*N \simeq \text{mod } C_{-\bullet}(\Omega N)$: the dg category of modules over chains on the based loop space equipped with the Pontryagin product (Abbondandolo–Schwarz, Fukaya–Seidel–Smith, Abouzaid).
- ▶ It is the global section of a locally constant cosheaf of dg categories (... , Kontsevich, ...).

Fukaya categories of T^*S^1

- ▶ $S^1 \simeq K(\mathbb{Z}, 1)$
- ▶ $\Omega S^1 \simeq \mathbb{Z}$
- ▶ $C_{\bullet}(\Omega N) \simeq \mathbf{k}[\mathbb{Z}] \simeq \mathbf{k}[x, x^{-1}]$
- ▶ $\text{wfuk } T^*S^1 \simeq \text{mod } \mathbf{k}[x, x^{-1}] \simeq \text{coh } \mathbb{G}_m$
- ▶ $\text{fuk } T^*S^1 \simeq \text{mod}_{\text{fin dim}} \mathbf{k}[x, x^{-1}] \simeq \text{coh}_{\text{cpt supp}} \mathbb{G}_m$
- ▶ $\text{sfuk } T^*S^1 \simeq \text{mod } \mathbf{k}(x)$

Fukaya categories of T^*S^n for $n \geq 2$

- ▶ $C_{-\bullet}(\Omega S^n) \simeq \mathbf{k}[x]$
- ▶ $\deg x = 1 - n$
- ▶ $\text{wfuk } T^*S^n \simeq \text{mod } \mathbf{k}[x]$
- ▶ $\text{fuk } T^*S^n \simeq \text{mod}_{\text{fin dim}} \mathbf{k}[x]$
- ▶ $\mathbf{k}[x]$ is non-(super)commutative for even n .
- ▶ $\text{wfuk } T^*S^n \simeq \text{coh } \mathbb{A}^1[n-1]$ and
 $\text{fuk } T^*S^n \simeq \text{coh}_0 \mathbb{A}^1[n-1]$ for odd n .
- ▶ $\text{sfuk } T^*S^n \simeq \text{mod } \mathbf{k}[x, x^{-1}]$

Brieskorn–Pham singularities

- ▶ A *Brieskorn–Pham polynomial* is a polynomial of the form $x_1^{a_1} + \cdots + x_n^{a_n}$ for $a_1, \dots, a_n \in \mathbb{N}$.
- ▶ A *Brieskorn–Pham singularity* is an isolated hypersurface singularity at the origin of the affine complex hypersurface defined by a Brieskorn–Pham polynomial.
- ▶ The *Milnor fiber* is the Liouville domain obtained as the intersection of a regular level set with a ball.
- ▶ The contact boundary of the Milnor fiber of a Brieskorn–Pham singularity is the *Brieskorn manifold*.
- ▶ The Brieskorn 7-spheres with $(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5) = (2, 2, 2, 3, 6k - 1)$ for $1 \leq k \leq 28$ give all 28 possible smooth structures on S^7 .

Simple singularities

$$A_\ell: x_1^{\ell+1} + x_2^2 + \cdots + x_{n+1}^2 = 0, \quad \ell = 1, 2, \dots$$

$$D_\ell: x_1^{\ell-1} + x_1 x_2^2 + x_3^2 + \cdots + x_{n+1}^2 = 0, \quad \ell = 4, 5, \dots$$

$$E_6: x_1^4 + x_2^3 + x_3^2 + \cdots + x_{n+1}^2 = 0,$$

$$E_7: x_1^3 + x_1 x_2^3 + x_3^2 + \cdots + x_{n+1}^2 = 0,$$

$$E_8: x_1^5 + x_2^3 + x_3^2 + \cdots + x_{n+1}^2 = 0.$$

- ▶ Q : a Dynkin quiver of the corresponding type
- ▶ \mathcal{G}_Q : the Ginzburg dg algebra of Q (without potential)
- ▶ \mathcal{G}_Q is smooth and Koszul dual to the trivial extension algebra $B_Q := A_Q \oplus A_Q^\vee[-n]$ where A_Q is the path algebra of Q and $A_Q^\vee := \text{hom}_{\mathbf{k}}(A_Q, \mathbf{k})$ (Keller).
- ▶ $\text{wfuk } U \simeq \text{perf } \mathcal{G}_Q$ for $n \geq 2$ and $\text{char } \mathbf{k} = 0$ (Etgü–Lekili)

Cluster category

- ▶ \mathcal{G}_Q is smooth but not proper.
- ▶ B_Q is proper but not smooth.
- ▶ \mathcal{G}_Q and B_Q are Koszul dual.
- ▶ $\text{pseu } B_Q$: the category of pseudo-perfect B_Q -modules (dg modules over B_Q which are perfect as \mathbf{k} -modules)
- ▶ $\text{pseu } B_Q / \text{perf } B_Q \simeq C_{n-1}(A_Q)$: the cluster category (the orbit category with respect to $\mathbb{S}[-n+1]$) (Keller)
- ▶ $\text{wfuk } U \simeq \text{perf } \mathcal{G}_Q \simeq \text{pseu } B_Q$
- ▶ $\text{fuk } U \simeq \text{pseu } \mathcal{G}_Q \simeq \text{perf } B_Q$
- ▶ $\text{sfuk } U \simeq C_{n-1}(A_Q)$

Trichotomy

- ▶ $U := \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid x_1^{a_1} + \dots + x_n^{a_n} = 1\}$ is
 - ▶ log Fano if $\frac{1}{a_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{a_n} > 1$,
 - ▶ log Calabi–Yau if $\frac{1}{a_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{a_n} = 1$, and
 - ▶ of log general type if $\frac{1}{a_1} + \dots + \frac{1}{a_n} < 1$.
- ▶ Milnor fibers of simple singularities in dimension 2 are log Fano, although they admit complete Ricci-flat Kähler metric.
- ▶ Mirrors of log Calabi–Yau manifolds are log Calabi–Yau manifolds, and mirrors of others are Landau–Ginzburg models.

Koszul duality

- ▶ $U := \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid x_1^{a_1} + \dots + x_n^{a_n} = 1\}$: the Milnor fiber of a Brieskorn–Pham singularity
- ▶ $(S_i)_{i=1}^\mu$: a distinguished basis of vanishing cycles in U
- ▶ $(L_i)_{i=1}^\mu$: non-compact Lagrangians such that $\dim \operatorname{hom}(L_i, S_j) = \delta_{ij}$
- ▶ $\mathcal{F} := \operatorname{end}(\bigoplus_{i=1}^\mu S_i)$, $\mathcal{W} := \operatorname{end}(\bigoplus_{i=1}^\mu L_i)$
- ▶ If U is not log Calabi–Yau, then
 - ▶ $\mathcal{F} \simeq \operatorname{hom}_{\mathcal{W}}(\mathbb{k}, \mathbb{k})$
 - ▶ $\mathcal{W}^{\operatorname{op}} \simeq \operatorname{hom}_{\mathcal{F}^{\operatorname{op}}}(\mathbb{k}, \mathbb{k})$
 - ▶ $\operatorname{Fun}^{\operatorname{ex}}(\operatorname{fuk} U, \operatorname{perf} \mathbf{k}) \simeq \operatorname{wfuk} U$
 - ▶ $\operatorname{Fun}^{\operatorname{ex}}(\operatorname{wfuk} U, \operatorname{perf} \mathbf{k}) \simeq \operatorname{fuk} U$
 - ▶ $\operatorname{HH}^*(\operatorname{fuk} U) \simeq \operatorname{HH}^*(\operatorname{wfuk} U) \left(\begin{array}{c} \operatorname{Ganatra} \\ \simeq \\ \operatorname{SH}^*(U) \end{array} \right)$

Homological mirror symmetry

- ▶ $U := \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n \mid x_1^{a_1} + \dots + x_n^{a_n} = 1\}$
- ▶ $S := \mathbf{k}[x_0, \dots, x_n]/(x_1^{a_1} + \dots + x_n^{a_n} - x_0 \cdots x_n)$
- ▶ $K := \{(t_0, \dots, t_n) \in (\mathbb{G}_m)^{n+1} \mid t_1^{a_1} = \dots = t_n^{a_n} = t_0 \cdots t_n\}$
- ▶ $\check{U} := [\mathrm{Spec} S/K]$: the quotient stack
- ▶ $\mathrm{scoh} \check{U} := \mathrm{coh} \check{U}/\mathrm{perf} \check{U}$: the stable derived category (a.k.a. the singularity category)
- ▶ If U is not log Calabi–Yau, then $\mathrm{wfuk} U \simeq \mathrm{scoh} \check{U}$.

CY/LG correspondence in the log Calabi–Yau case

- ▶ $K := \{(t_1, \dots, t_n) \in (\mathbb{G}_m)^n \mid t_1^{a_1} = \dots = t_n^{a_n}\}$
- ▶ $\mathbb{X} := \left[(\mathbb{A}^n \setminus \mathbf{0}) / K \right]$
- ▶ $\mathbb{D}_0 := V(x_1^{a_1} + \dots + x_n^{a_n}), \mathbb{D}_\infty := V(x_1 \cdots x_n)$.
- ▶ $\tilde{\mathbb{X}} \rightarrow \mathbb{X}$: the blow-up along $\mathbb{D}_0 \cap \mathbb{D}_\infty$
- ▶ $\check{\mathbb{U}} := \tilde{\mathbb{X}} \setminus (\text{the strict transform of } \mathbb{D}_\infty)$: smooth
- ▶ $\text{scoh } \check{\mathbb{U}} \simeq \text{coh } \check{\mathbb{U}}$ by a variation of Orlov's theorem

Stable derived categories

- ▶ $S := \mathbf{k}[x_0, \dots, x_n]/(x_1^{a_1} + \dots + x_n^{a_n} - x_0 \cdots x_n)$
- ▶ $K :=$
 $\{(t_0, \dots, t_n) \in (\mathbb{G}_m)^{n+1} \mid t_1^{a_1} = \dots = t_n^{a_n} = t_0 \cdots t_n\}$
- ▶ $\check{U} := [\mathrm{Spec} S/K]$
- ▶ $\check{E} := \{x_0 = 0\} \subset \check{U}$
- ▶ $\check{D} := \check{U} \setminus \check{E}$
- ▶ If U is not log CY, then $\check{D} \simeq [\mathrm{Spec} T/H]$ where
 $T := \mathbf{k}[x_1, \dots, x_n]/(x_1^{a_1} + \dots + x_n^{a_n} - x_1 \cdots x_n)$ and
 $H := K \cap \{t_0 = 1\}$.
- ▶ $\mathrm{coh} \check{D} \simeq \mathrm{coh} \check{U} / \mathrm{coh}_{\check{E}} \check{U}$
- ▶ $\mathrm{scoh} \check{D} \simeq \mathrm{scoh} \check{U} / \mathrm{scoh}_{\check{E}} \check{U}$
- ▶ If U is not log CY, then $\mathrm{scoh}_{\check{E}} \check{U}$ is mirror to $\mathrm{fuk} U$ inside
 $\mathrm{wfuk} U \simeq \mathrm{scoh} \check{U}$, so that $\mathrm{sfuk} U \simeq \mathrm{scoh} \check{D}$.

$\mathbb{P}^n \setminus$ (a smooth anticanonical divisor)

- ▶ $D := \{[x_0 : \dots : x_n] \in \mathbb{P}^n \mid x_0^{n+1} + \dots + x_n^{n+1} = 0\}$
- ▶ $\tilde{U} := \{(x_0, \dots, x_{n+1}) \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \mid x_0^{n+1} + \dots + x_{n+1}^{n+1} = 1\}$
- ▶ μ_{n+1} acts diagonally on \tilde{U} .
- ▶ $\tilde{U}/\mu_{n+1} \cong U := \mathbb{P}^n \setminus D$
- ▶ $G := \{[\text{diag}(t_0, \dots, t_n)] \in \text{PSL}_{n+1} \mid t_0^{n+1} = \dots = t_n^{n+1} = 1\}$
- ▶ $\mathbb{D}_0 := \{x_0^{n+1} + \dots + x_n^{n+1} = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P} := [\mathbb{P}^n/G]$
- ▶ $\mathbb{D}_\infty := \{x_0 \cdots x_n = 0\} \subset \mathbb{P}$
- ▶ $\tilde{\mathbb{P}} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}$: the blow-up along $\mathbb{D}_0 \cap \mathbb{D}_\infty$
- ▶ $\tilde{\mathbb{D}}_\infty \subset \tilde{\mathbb{P}}$: the strict transform of \mathbb{D}_∞
- ▶ $\check{U} := \tilde{\mathbb{P}} \setminus \tilde{\mathbb{D}}_\infty$
- ▶ wfuk $U \simeq \text{coh } \check{U}$?

$\mathbb{P}^2 \setminus$ (an anticanonical divisor)

- ▶ If $n = 2$, then $G \cong \mu_3$ and the minimal resolution $X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^2/\mu_3$ is a toric weak del Pezzo surface of degree 3.
- ▶ The strict transform D_∞ of \mathbb{D}_∞ is the toric boundary of X consisting of three (-1) -curves and six (-2) -curves.
- ▶ The blow-up $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ is a rational elliptic surface.
- ▶ The strict transform \tilde{D}_∞ of D_∞ is an anticanonical cycle consisting of nine (-2) -curves, which is a singular fiber of an elliptic fibration $\tilde{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$.
- ▶ $\check{U} := \tilde{X} \setminus \tilde{D}_\infty$ is mirror to the complement of a smooth anticanonical divisor in \mathbb{P}^2 .
- ▶ \check{U} is projective over \mathbb{A}^1 , whose coordinate should be mirror to the BSV class.
- ▶ In contrast, \mathbb{P}^2 minus a conic and a line in general position is self-mirror, and hence affine in particular.
- ▶ \mathbb{P}^2 minus three lines in general position is also self-mirror.